IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc.No.76994 -M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: FEBRUARY 8, 2007

Surjit Kaur

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present: Mr.Sandeep Arora , Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr.N.S.Gill, AAG, Punjab.

...

Petitioner Surjit Kaur has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuing direction to the court of CJM, Kapurthala to accept fresh bail bonds and surety bonds and to relese the petitioner on bail in the event of her appearance/surrender in case FIR No.32 dated 18.9.2002 registered under Sections 406/498-A IPC at Police Station City, Kapurthala.

While issuing notice of motion on December 7, 2006, interim bail was granted to the petitioner subject to her furnishing fresh regular bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court.

I have heard the counsel for the parties and gone through the contents of the FIR.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who is 52 years old mother-in-law of the complainant, was on regular bail. However, on 3.8.2004, she could not appear on the wrong impression that on the next date, she will be summoned by the trial Court, therefore, her bail bonds were cancelled and she has been summoned through non bailable warrants. Counsel further contends that in terms of the aforesaid interim order , the petitioner had appeared before the trial court and furnished her fresh regular bail bonds to its satisfaction and since then she is regularly appearing.

Counsel for the respondent-State on instructions from SI

Satnam Singh, does not dispute the factual position.

In view of the above, the interim order dated December 7, 2006 is made absolute.

Disposed of accordingly.

February 8, 2007 (SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
vkg JUDGE